p 7 41-48

G. R. S. [X-5]

Previous references. 1890-91 ~~191~~ . (L.R.)

File No. 73

Serial Nos. 1-63

Later references.
L.R. 92-94.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 163

Major ~~Part.~~ B for January 1892

SUBJECT,

Claims of certain Yuvadar Shanbhogs of Amani-Kores in the Dommarpalli T.K.

Dated

(General Serial No. 31).

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 5128. 1890 SEP. 30

1890 9/1

From

The Dy Commissioner
Kaveripatnam

(1)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 26th August 1890

Despatched 28th " "

Received

Enclosures No. V/s.

General No. 277
No. 8.

Submitting the question of claims put forward by certain Huckseer Chowkeydars in the Channapatnam Taluk to certain villages as Isolated Tanks called Amani Kunis being observed in the villages belonging to the Inam of others in the Survey Settlement & soliciting general instructions for the disposal of such cases.

Submitted
for Dewan's
orders
30/8/90
Sd 4 Sept 90

Return the papers
to be dy com bnhmt
in com with his
report in the enc
issue of Sept-mt
in favour of the

D/to d
Mr
5/9

2

No. 277 (1)
Dy. Secy.
V. Ko.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated Hasan 26th August 1870

From P. A. Permaiya Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hasan District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the accompanying Vernacular Correspondence ending with the Chennarayapatna Amildar's No 15-82 of the 5th January last connected with the claims of certain Inkdar Shantays to their villages or Isolated Tanks called "Amani Keres" being absorbed in the villages belonging to the Inkdar of Others and to report that several such villages of the Inkdar of one man or Tanks have been amal-

gamated

amalgamated with the villages of the
huk or charge of another in this District
at the Survey and Settlement probably
to suit the Convenience or arrangements
of the Survey Department. This has given
rise to Complaints from several quarters.
In dealing with some such cases in the
Chamrajapatna Taluk, Mr. Ananda Rao,
the then Deputy Commissioner with a
view to effect a compromise between
contending parties, suggested that a Lump
sum Compensation, equal to 8½ times
the proportional potagi payable for
the village thus amalgamated, should
be paid to the hukdar in Settlement
of all his claims on the village.
To this, some agreed and received the
payment, some agreed but did not
act up to this engagement and

In other Confirming in part to the Instructions of Govt as issued by the Secretary of the Survey Dept & the interests of the Shanbhogues as stated above

and others disagree to receive the Lump Sum payment but claim for a village of equivalent value instead. a list of such cases in the Chamrajapatna Taluk is attached to the file for your information

2 I also beg to add that there exists many more Cases of such nature in the case of Patels throughout the District.

3. Under these circumstances I beg to state that a General ruling under which such claims should be disposed of would be found very desirable

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

B Devaraja

Deputy Commissioner

mb

(General Serial No. 30).

1890.

From

To The Dy Comm.r
Hassan District

Dated 5th

Despatched 9 Sept.r

Received

Enclosures No. VP

General No. 6548

No. 186

His letter No 277 dated the 26th August 1890, submitting for orders cases in which the "Amanikeres" or village watchmen of isolated tanks belonging to a Hobli Shanbogue have been added on to the charge of a non Hobli Shanbogue, by the Survey Department.

In returning the Vernacular proceedings submitted with the above, requests the Dy Comm.r will be pleased to submit a brief precis of each case with his own views & proposals for consideration & orders of Govt.

(General Serial No. 30.)

10047

19 JAN 92

189 1/92 569

From

The Commissioner
Nundidroog

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 16th January 1892

Despatched 18th January 1892

Received

Enclosures No. 1 (& V. files separately sent by Post)

General No. 695
No.

Sirs

I submit the Ver: files with an English statement in connection with the transfer of Villages belonging to one Shanbogue to another in the Chickballapur Sudder Taluk.

Ref. Mem. 19.1.92

Submitted for orders.

Mysore 15/2/92

No. 695/5 encl: (3) vide

The Commissioner's Office
Kapan District
Dated Kapan 16th January 1892

From

The Dy Commissioner
Kapan District,

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore,

Sir,

With reference to your
No. 6598/186 of the 5th September 1890,
returning for resubmission
with a precis, the vernacular
files connected with the trans
-fers of villages, belonging to
one Shanbhogue, to another
in the Chennarayapatna Talk.
I have the honor to resubmit
the vernacular files with an
English Statement containing
details about each of them.
2. It would appear from my

No 277 of the 28th August 1890 that Mr. Purnaiya in submitting the cases under notice sought only for a general ruling under which such claims should be disposed of. The cases contained in the circular files are only illustrations of such claims as require no orders of Government. They will be disposed of on their own merits in accordance with the Ruling that may hereafter be passed. The files may obligingly be returned when no longer required..

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obt Servt

J. Minchin Smith

M. for Dr Cormac Hassain Dnty

Statement shewing the
Particulars of the Village
of the Link of one Chaubayne
transferred to another in the
Chennarayapatna Taluk.

Hassan District.

Statement shewing the particulars of the tran
Cheeroyputna Taluk. Hassan District. —

As it stood before the Survey Settlement			As arranged since the Survey Settlement			
No.	Name of or. 00. 11	Pirka	Name of Hukdar	Name of Pirka	Name of Village to which	Name of the Shanbogue
1	Bajoor Amainkere.	Bajoor	Narasimiah	Bajoor	Bajoor.	Venkatramaiya (minor) Gumasta Nemjundiah

1211 12 – The Villages of Bajoor and its tank called Bajoor Amanikare were for account purposes treated as separate Villages and the accounts connected therewith were separately maintained. Narasimiah is the Shanboyne of Amanikare and Venkatramanaiya (minor) that of Bajoor. The Kunjundiah is doing the duties in the name of the minor. Venkatramaniah. During the Survey Settlement, the tank was amalgamated with Bajoor. Narsimiah complains that the tank of his hulk was absorbed in the Village of Bajoor without his consent and requested that either a Village of Equal Value may be given him, or compensation Equal to 8¼ times of the proportional Potaji for one year may be paid to him. The Hukdar Shanboyne of Bajoor Pirka being a minor, his mother stated that she could say nothing in the matter. Kunjundiah Shanboyne Gumasta stated that he was not in a position to accept any of the terms proposed by Narasimiah, he being only a Gumasta for the time being. The auditor proposes that it would be better if the tank is separated from the Village as before each Hukdar being restored to his own office. –

2.	Dindayoor Amanikere	Amanikara	Narasimiah	Dindayoor Firka.	Dindayoor Village	Chowdiah	

Hukdar Chanbegne of Dindayoor Survey Settlement the tank was then petitioned to the Survey Superin that the tank should be kept apart. The Survey Superintendent stated Village. The request could not be g the deputy Commissioner for the work The parties disagreed to this would lead to confusion. The either by transferring one of the Narasimiah, or by making Cho fixed for the tank. The Sub order, directing that if the part time he was prepared to sett In the meanwhile the records were Hassan District who (on enquire dig that Chowdiah chou to 8 1/4 times the proportional in the settlement. Both the Shan that as the Lumpsum as not pay in two yearly instalments. to his promise. A Sum of Narasimiah in April 1889,

135	"	"	"	"	"	Narasipura belonging to Tammaniah was absorbed in the Village of Nuppehally belonging to Coorappah during the Survey settlement Tammaniah requested that as there was no Village of equal value to be had he may be given 8 1/4 times the proportional Patayi as a compensation for his lands. Coorappah agreed to the proposal made by Tammaniah. The amildar recommends the same.

Minnarathanda

for, Commissioner

Hassan District

124	–	–	41	4	–	During the Survey settlement Bidi- devastana Village was absorbed in Chinnayapatna Village. The Hukdar of Bididevastana having agreed to renounce his claim by receiving a compensation of Rs. 41/4/- being 8 1/4 times the patagi, the amount was paid by Narasimiah to Thimmaiya. This case calls for no settlement.
397	12	–	–	–	–	Kumbanahally Village belonging to Narasimiah was absorbed in the Village of Yachanahally belonging to
231	4	–	–	–	–	Venkatramaniah and Hoosahally belonging to Venkatramaniah was absorbed in the Village of Nagamudra. The Hukdars have preferred no complaint against the arrangement. A notice was also issued to them to the effect that they should present themselves before the amildar and represent their grievances if any. They did not do so.

7	Madlapura	Karahally	Porttasamiah	Anathi Sirka.	Anathi	Linyapiah	
8	Ammanahalli	Buttikare Sirka.	1. Ramiah 2. Krishnappa	Jimanahalli Sirka	Doti	Samiah	

5	Veerasapura	Kandapur	Venkatramiah	Narihalli Firka	Poora	Nanjappah (Senior)
6	Nagenahally	Madabatha Firka	Subbanah (Senior)	Yeleyur Venkatramiah Firka.	Yeleyur	Seenivasiengar

132 — — — — — Veerasapara Village belonging to

Venkatramiah is absorbed in the Village of Poora belonging to Manjappah who is a minor. Venkatramiah is also a Khanbogue of Nareshalli Sirka and is doing the duty. He has not preferred any complaint against the arrangement. A notice was also issued to the parties to appear before the amildar and prefer their complaint if any. They did not do so.

3

149 — — Nagenahally Village belonging to Venkatramiah (minor) and Soobbamah was absorbed in the Village of Yeleyur belonging to Sreenivasiengar. Soobbamah guardian of minor Venkatramiah requested that either a village of equal Value should be given to her son in exchange of the Village absorbed, or a compensation equal to 8 1/4 times the proportional pottah should be paid to her. Sreenivasiengar agreed to pay the amount of compensation as proposed. The amildar recommends the settlement of the claim accordingly.

683 — — 225 — — The Village of Dindayoor and its tank Called "Dindayoor Amanttare" were for account purposes treated as separate Villages, and the accounts connected therewith were separately maintained. The Chowdiah is the andevaraiyniah of Dindayoor Amanttare. During the amalgamated with the Village. The Hukdars of the Amanttare tendered Complaint against the arrangement and requested from the Village and a separate map made for itself. that as the lands are so mixed up with the lands of the anted and that arrangements might be effected through being performed by both the Hukdars by yearly rotation settlement on the ground that constant Change of lands amildar then tried in vain to effect a compromise Villages of equal Value belonging to Chowdiah, to wdiah pay to Varaiyniah half of the total amount divisional officer Trunk Rocks then issued an ies did not effect a compromise within a reasonable le the matter in one of the ways suggested by the amildar. transferred for disposal to the deputy Commissioner Row the then deputy Commissioner) suggested a Compro d pay to Varaiyniah a lumpsum Compensation equal total account for one year for Amanttare as constitu bogue agreed to the proposal, but Chowdiah stated heavy. Solicited permission to pay up the amount This was granted but Chowdiah failed to ask up Rs 100/- has since been paid by Chowdiah to and the balance viz Rs 125/- is still due. —

9	Narasipura	Devastana	Dummanamiah	Kuppe-halli	Kuppehally	Soorapet	

3.	Bididevastana	devastana Firka.	Thamaiya	Kasaba	Cheruvpatna	Narasimiah	
4	Kumbana hully	Kasaba Firka.	Narasimiah	devastana Firka	Yachana hully	Venkatramiy	
"	Hoshally	devastana	Venkatramiy	Kasaba	Nagamudra	Narasimiah	

37 " " " " " Madalapura belonging to Porttasamiah was absorbed in the Village of Anathi belonging to Lingapapiah. Porttasamiah Requested that a village of equal Value should be given him in exchange, and stated that he would not receive any compensation for the same in cash. Lingapiah stated that there was no Village of equal Value to be had in his Zirka and that he was ready to pay to the Amildar 8 1/4 times the proportional Kotaji as settled in the Cases of such Villages in other Zirkas. The amildar states that a lumpsum compensation as stated above may be awarded.

135 " " " " " Annanaballi belonging to Ramiah and Vishwappa was absorbed in the Village of Totibelonging to Sannaiah. There has been a dispute regarding the office of Shanbogue of the entire Zirka, and the claims for this particular Village might arise after the final settlement of the rights of contending parties to the office in question

(4)

Genl Pro

Read letter from the Dy Comr of Hassan dy 26 August 1890 No 277.

Rec'd dy 28 Jan 1892 No 695

The draft, appended & app'd by Mr Thornton Kelly are submitted to the Secretary for his approval - as this involves a general question. —

[illegible]
13/7/92

The Survey Dept having included certain "Amani Reras" or valley lands belonging to the Huck of one Shan" to the Ferkas but in the Huck of 4 Shanbhogs, the Comr enquires how he is to dispose of the claims of the several Shanbhogs

The Govt consider that the best way of disposing of

The question is one of considerable importance. If the rearrangement & apportionment of the charges be made in accordance with this order, numerous cases of this kind will arise leading to complications. For instance the time limit in such cases to be reckoned from [illegible] 13/7/92

the claims of
shareholders
in re-adjusting the
Fishes in a ~~manner~~
manner that will suit
the claims of all
the parties as far as
possible.— The Dy
Court is requested
to consider each
case separately &
impose such
adjustment as may
seem equitable.—
In act of merely
sequence if the
size of Fishes
increased or there
is enlarged. Even
portions of a single
fish have been
added in other
fish, there is no
bar to the portion
added being

constituted into a
separate village,
provided the survey
numbers of the portions
to be constituted
into a separate village
are consecutive.—

13/7/92